#### **EXHIBIT 12-A**

#### MONTANA COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT PROGRAM

#### PROJECT MONITORING GUIDE

Montana Department of Commerce

Anthony J. Priete Director

David Cole Administrator Community Development Division

> Gus Byrom CDBG Program Manager

Joe LaForest Administrative Officer

Program Specialists: Frank Kromkowski Neal Ullman

Program Assistant: Joanne Gilbert

301 S. Park Avenue P.O. Box 200523 Helena, Montana 59620-0523

Phone: (406) 841-2791

**Updated April 2006** 

# MONTANA DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE CDBG PROGRAM PROJECT MONITORING GUIDE

Nam	ne of Grant Recipient						
	Contract #						
Ту	•	conomic lousing	Development				
	F	Public Faci	ilities				
Date	e(s) Monitored		Monitored By:				
	Local Project Manager						
	Address						
	Phone Number:						
Per	rsons Interviewed:						
Com	npliance Areas			Check Are Reviewe			
<u>оон</u> А.	Management Management			Reviewe	u.		
В.	Environment						
C.	Procurement						
D.	Financial Manageme	ent					
E.	Civil Rights						
F.	Labor Standards						
G.	Acquisition						
Н.	· —						
I.	<b>Public Facility Cons</b>	truction M	lanagement				
J.	Individual Housing I Review	Rehabilitat	tion File				
K.	<b>Economic Developm Administration</b>	nent Proje	ct				
L.	Project Closeout and						

A.	MANAGEMENT						
1. F	Project Documentation						
YES	NO	NA					
			a. Do the CDBG recipient's files contain a copy of the original CDBG application and all relevant supplementary materials? (income or housing condition surveys map of project area, etc.)				
			Comments:				
			b. What was the benefit to low and moderate income (LMI) persons claimed on the application?				
			Comments:				
			c. If based on a local survey, are the original survey responses on file?				
			Comments:				
			d. Does the Grantee's survey data appear verifiable, and is the documentation consistent with the CDBG guidelines, <a href="Documenting Benefit to LMI">Documenting Benefit to LMI</a> ?				
			Comments:				
			e. Does the survey data match up with the project area?				
			Comments:				
2. F	Projec	t Mana	agement				
YES	NO	NA	a. Does the Grantee's record keeping system contain files for the topics suggested by CDBG?				
			Comments:				
			<b>b.</b> If so, is the system being used effectively? Does the record keeping system appear adequate?				
			Comments:				
			c. Do the CDBG recipient's files contain a copy of the DOC-Grantee contract and all subsequent amendments?				
			Comments :				

YES	NO	NA	d. Does the Grantee have a copy of the approved Project Management Plan and Project Implementation Schedule on file and are they current and up-to-date?  Comments:  e. Are project activities being carried out in the same manner as proposed
			in the original CDBG application or as subsequently approved by DOC?  Comments:
			f. Does the project manager have a copy of the applicable edition of the Montana CDBG Grant Administration Manual?
			Comments:
			g. Based upon the on-site review, does the level of project implementation appear consistent with project progress reports?
			Comments:
			h. Based upon the on-site review, does it appear that the project will be completed in conformance with the approved implementation schedule in the grant contract? (If not, please explain how situation will be resolved.)
			Comments:
			i. Review the Citizen Participation File for any public comments, inquiries, or complaints regarding the project. Were any problems identified or complaints received?
			Comments:
			If "yes," did they receive responses within a reasonable time period (15 days)?
			Were the problems or complaints resolved promptly and satisfactorily?

#### **B. ENVIRONMENT**

*Note:* For those situations where an in-depth review is determined to be necessary, a separate review format is on file. CDBG staff may conduct an in-depth review for environmental compliance for Grantees for whom objections to the release of funds have been received or for Grantees found not to be in compliance with environmental regulations based on the review procedures.

YES	NO	NA	
			Does the Grantee maintain a copy of the Environmental Review Record (ERR) in its offices, available for public review?     Does the local project file include a copy of the CDBG Environmental Release of Funds (EROF) letter?
			Comments:
			2. Was any documentation pertinent to the ERR not submitted to the State as part of the Request for Release of Funds (e.g., FONSI distribution list, proof of publication)? If yes, list.
			Comments:
			3. Is this documentation (items in #2 above) in the Grantee's file?
			Comments:
			4. Have any environmentally related complaints been received by the Grantee or CDBG as a result of project activities?
			Comments:
			5. Are the project activities being carried out in the same geographic area as proposed in the original CDBG application or as subsequently approved by DOC? Note any potential concerns regarding environmental review procedures.
			Comments:
			6. Does on-site monitoring of the project area reveal the existence of any hazardous sites or other environmental concerns that would question the validity of the Grantee's Environmental Review or that may require mitigating measures during project implementation?
			Comments:
			7. Were there any substantial changes in the circumstances, magnitude or extent of the project that did or would necessitate further environmental review, a revised assessment, or a change in the level of finding of the review?
			Comments:

YES	NO	NA	8. If yes to 7: In the event that substantial changes have occurred in the project, is all necessary documentation, such as a revised assessment, change in finding, and new public notices, contained in the Grantee's updated ERR and in the project files?
			Comments:
			9. Does on-site monitoring of the project area reveal the existence of any environmental concerns that may require (or did require) mitigating measures during project implementation?
			Comments:
			10. Does on-site monitoring of the project indicate any noncompliance with state or federal environmental laws or regulations?
			Comments:
C.	PROC	CUREM	IENT - Non-Construction
1.	Monit	toring	questions for sampled procurement transaction
YES	NO	NA	
			a. Check <u>non-construction</u> contracts entered into. Sample the documentation of at least one non-construction procurement. Did the Grantee's procurement files contain adequate written documentation of the procedures followed for the sampled procurement transaction? Did the procurement comply with current DOC procedures? See CDBG Administration Manual, Chapter 3, <u>Procurement and Exhibit 3-F.</u>
			Comments:
2.	On-si	te mon	nitoring - general procurement procedures
YES	ОИ	NA	a. Has the Grantee ensured that no conflict of interest, real or apparent, exists with respect to any contract supported by grant funds?
			Comments:
			<ul> <li>Have any apparent conflicts of interest occurred in contractor selection?</li> </ul>
			If yes, describe the situation.
			<ul> <li>c. Has the Grantee established procedures to assure ongoing review of contractor performance and contract expenditures during the term of any CDBG-funded contracts?</li> <li>Comments:</li> </ul>
			tion Procurement Review Checklist on if this was already reviewed in-office by CDBG staff)

services. This checklist may be used either for in-office or on-site reviews of procurement procedures. ... Did the Grantee place the required appropriate contract language regarding civil rights in bid documents and in all contracts? See Chapter 3, Procurement and Exhibit 3-F. Name of Firm/Contractor: b. Purpose of Contract: C. Date of Contract: d. Amount: What type of procurement process was used? (1) \_\_\_\_ Small Purchase; (2) \_\_\_\_ Competitive Sealed Bid; Competitive Proposals, (4) \_\_\_\_Noncompetitive Negotiation (Sole Source) YES NO NA 1) Small Purchase: a) Was the small purchase procedure appropriate for the good or service being procured? Comments: b) Was the cost \$100,000 or less? Comments: c) Were price quotes obtained from more than one qualified source? Comments: d) Was adequate documentation of the procurement available? Comments: 2) Competitive Sealed Bid: Competitive sealed bidding is the standard procurement process followed for **construction** activities involved in CDBG public facility projects – not for non-construction services. See the CDBG Manual, page 3-15 and following.

*NOTE:* Photocopy this checklist and complete it for each non-construction contract reviewed, including CDBG-funded professional services contracts such as grant management and architectural, engineering

YES	NO	NA	3) Competitive Proposals:
			a) Were competitive proposals appropriate for the good or service being procured?
			Comments:
			b) Were proposals requested from an adequate number of qualified sources (at least two)?
			Comments:
			c) Did the Grantee adequately publicize the RFP and honor reasonable requests to submit responses to the RFP?
			Comments:
			d) Did the Grantee contact Disadvantaged Business Enterprises (DBE's) for proposals? If not, why?
			Comments:
			e) Did the RFP clearly and accurately identify all the major factors that were used to evaluate the responses and their relative weight in the selection?
			Comments:
			f) Were all the responses evaluated according to the written criteria established in advance?
			Comments:
			g) Did the grant recipient have a method for conducting technical valuations of the proposals received and for selecting the awardee?
			Comments:
			h) Did the grant recipient check references for the awardee?
			Comments:
			4) Noncompetitive Negotiation (Sole Source)
			Did DOC authorize sole source procurement as required? Date:

YES	NO	NA	(4, continued): Did at least one of the following apply?
			a) After solicitation from a number of sources, competition was determined to be inadequate?
			Comments:
			b) The items or services required were available only from one source?
			Comments:
			c) A public emergency existed such that the urgency would not permit a delay to use one of the other methods of procurement?
			Comments:
4.	QUES	STIONS	S CONCERNING PROCUREMENT TRANSACTIONS
			a. Was the procurement transaction conducted in a manner that provided maximum open and free competition (so that the procedures and description of technical requirements did not restrict or eliminate competition)?
			Comments:
			b. Were the methods used to advertise or solicit competition appropriate?
			Comments:
			c. Did the Grantee take affirmative steps to assure that small and minority businesses and women's business enterprises were solicited as a potential source of supplies, equipment, construction, or services? If so, please describe. If not, why not?
			Comments:
			d. Did the Grantee submit the contract for DOC/CDBG's review, prior to entering into the contract?
			Comments:
			Date of review:
			Reviewed by:
YES	NO	NA	e. Does the contract contain the clauses required by DOC? (Use <b>Exhibit 3-F</b> "Sample Format for a Professional Services Contract, in the CDBG Grant Administration Manual, for examples of the CDBG-

			required clauses for professional services agreements.)				
			Comments:				
			f. If the contract was not reviewed previously by Montana CDBG staff, complete the checklist shown in CDBG Administration Manual.				
			g. Did the CDBG grant recipient contact Montana CDBG prior to entering into contracts, to determine whether the contractors and subcontractors selected were listed by the federal government as "debarred contractors"?				
			Comments:				
			Date debarment checks were performed:				
			h. Was a cost reimbursable and specified "not to exceed" compensation used?				
			NOTE: Cost plus a percentage of cost and percentage of construction cost <u>are prohibited</u> .				
D. I	D. FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT						
1. (	Gener	al Issu	es				
YES	NO	NA					
			a. Did the Grantee need (and receive) assistance from the Department of Commerce or the Department of Administration (DOA) in establishing a financial management and record keeping system to account for all CDBG money in accord with Chapter 4 of the CDBG manual?				
			Comments:				
			Date of visit(s):				
YES	NO	NA	b. Did the DOC or DOA identify any concerns regarding the Grantee's financial management system?				
			Comments:				
			If "yes," describe:				
			c. Have these concerns been satisfactorily addressed by the Grantee?				
			Comments:				
YES	NO	NA	d. Has any entity-wide audit or CDBG/MDOC monitoring been conducted to date during the term of the CDBG project?				

			Comments:
			If yes, date of monitoring or date of audit:
			What firm or agency conducted the audit
			e. If so, are any findings in that audit or CDBG monitoring pertinent to the financial management of CDBG funds?
			Comments:
			f. If yes, has the Grantee satisfactorily resolved all findings noted in CDBG monitoring letters or any previous audits conducted during the term of the project?
			Comments:
			g. Is the grant within the direct control of the city, town, or county, and included in their financial statements?
			Comments:
			h. What financial system does the Grantee use?
			BARS TAS Other
			If Other, describe
			<ul> <li>i. Has the Grantee officially adopted a budget for expenditure of the CDBG grant by resolution and in accordance with the CDBG contract? ~ Were all changes in budget expenditures and project activities approved by DOC in advance?</li> </ul>
			Comments:
			j. Are the budget line items within the local accounting records recorded by the Grantee consistent with the budget line items in the CDBG contract?
			Comments:
			k. Has the Grantee established a separate special revenue fund for CDBG grants (unless the grant was a contribution to the enterprise fund)?
			Comments:
YES	NO	NA	I. Has the Grantee developed adequate procedures to assure expenditure of all CDBG funds in excess of \$5,000 within 3 days of receipt, as required by HUD and CDBG?

			Comments:
			m. Are CDBG grant funds drawn down and CDBG Program Income receipted for in the same manner as other Grantee revenue, or are there special procedures? If so, please describe. ( <i>Program Income</i> : defined in the CDBG Manual, Chapter 4, Exhibit 4-H.)
			Comments:
			n. Are CDBG funds deposited into:
			1. the Grantee's central bank account?
			Comments:
			2. a separate bank account for CDBG funds?
			Comments:
			o. Is the account interest bearing?
			Comments:
			p. Are <b>CDBG expenditures</b> <i>processed</i> in the same manner as other Grantee expenditures?
			Comments:
			q. Does someone compare expenditures against budgeted line items in order to control overspending on the authorized budget?
			Comments:
			r. Which individuals validate/authorize claims for payment for the Grantee?
			Insert Names/Titles:
			s. Which individuals prepare warrants for the Grantee?
			Insert Names/Titles:
			t. Is there sufficient separation of duties to ensure adequate internal control?
			Comments:
YES	NO	NA	u. Are the public officials and employees involved in managing CDBG funds bonded as required by Montana law (2-9-701 and 2-9-801, MCA)? (Under A-87, any losses due to failure to bond local officials and employees are ineligible CDBG expenses.)

			Comments:					
2. <u>Ex</u>	Expenditure Review							
a.	Total amount of funds drawn to date <u>per CDBG records</u> :							
	thro	ugh CD	BG Draw #:					
	Total a	mount o	f funds expended <i>per Grantee's records</i> :					
	Balance	e remaiı	ning (per CDBG records):					
	Amoun	t of casl	n on hand, if applicable:					
	of CE		ana CDBG's financial records and the CDBG recipient's records for the amount ds drawn down and received agree?					
	(These i.e., tho	are "en se not r	authorization to incur administrative costs: vironmentally-exempt" administrative costs – equiring a CDBG environmental release of flanual, Chapter 1, page 26)					
	Date of	CDBG	environmental release of funds letter:					
sam For	Note: Using the <u>Project Expenditure Sampling Forms</u> at the end of Section F of this monitoring guide, sample a reasonable number of expenditures from both Administrative and Activity budget categories. For administration, in particular, review payroll timesheets, telephone bills, and travel expenses to assure reasonable relationship to CDBG activities.							
For th	For the expenditures sampled:							
YES	NO	NA	d. Were any ineligible expenditures charged against the grant for the period prior to the authorization to incur administrative costs?					
			Comments:					
			e. Were any ineligible expenditures charged against the grant for the period between the authorization to incur administrative costs and the release of funds?					
			Comments:					
			f. Were any ineligible expenditures charged against the grant during the period following DOC's release of funds?					
			Comments:					
YES	NO	NA	g. Does a review of the following project expenditures verify that they are necessary and reasonable for administration of the CDBG project and that they are eligible pursuant to OMB Circular A-87?  Comments:					

			Administrative service contracts (e.g., legal, accounting, audit, consulting)
			Comments:
			Salaries and related costs;
			Comments:
			Travel and training expenditures;
			Comments:
			4. Communications (e.g. telephone, postage);
			Comments:
			5. Other administrative costs (supplies, printing, equipment)?
			Comments:
			h. Were all sampled claims reviewed and approved by authorized individuals (Department Head, Council, etc.)?
			Comments:
			i. Were sampled expenditures supported by adequate source documentation (invoices, contracts, purchase orders, etc.)?
			j. Were sampled expenditures in accordance with the CDBG budget line items?
			Comments:
			k. Were any of the following ineligible expenditures noted:
			Interest and other financing costs?
			Comments:
			2) Contributions and donations?
			Comments:
			3) Bad debts?
			Comments:
YES	NO	NA	4) Contingencies? [**Unallowable contingencies are contributions to a contingency reserve held long-term for unforeseen events.]  Comments:
			5) Entertainment?

			Comments:					
			6) Fines and penalties?					
			Comments:					
			7) Legislative expenditures?					
			Comments:					
			I. Were all the expenditures sampled eligible and appropriate uses of CDBG funds?					
			Comments:					
			m. Were all sampled expenditures adequately documented? If "no," describe.					
			Comments:					
			n. Based upon review of receipt and disbursements of CDBG funds, were there any instances of violation of the HUD \$5,000/3-day policy?					
			Date(s):					
			Comments:					
			o. If "yes" to "n" can the Grantee justify each instance of violation of the HUD \$5,000/3-day policy?					
			Describe circumstances:					
			Comments:					
			p. Were the salaries and wages charged against the grant, if any, supported by adequate payroll records (timesheets)?					
			Comments:					
			q. Are costs being prorated on a reasonable basis for local staff (if any) that are working partially on CDBG project activities?					
YES	NO	NA	r. If work is performed by city or county staff, are there adequate records to document the use of public employees?  Comments:					
			s. Does a review of the payroll forms reveal any instances of personnel being paid from, but not working on, program activities?					
			Comments:					

3. F	Prope	pperty Management							
YES	NO	NA		tee maintain property records documenting the all property purchased with CDBG funds?					
			Comments:						
			b. Was the prope regulations?	rty acquired in compliance with HUD procurement					
			Comments:	Comments:					
			c. Do these items	Do these items appear on the Grantee's property records?					
			Description:	Description: Cost: \$					
4. F	4. Program Income								
YES	NO	NA		Has any <i>Program Income</i> been generated through CDBG project activities? ( <i>Program Income</i> as defined in the CDBG Manual, Chapter 4, Exhibit 4-H.)					
			Source(s):						
			Amount To dat	e:					
			b. Has the Grantee Program Income	e established policies and procedures for the use of e?					
			Comments:						
			Income deposite	Has the Grantee expended Program Income (other than Program Income deposited in an approved revolving fund) in payment of program costs prior to making further cash draws from the DOC?					
			Comments:	omments:					
				Has the Grantee established revenue accounts to account for all Program Income receipts and disbursements?					
				ome Fund has been established, were the procedures lisbursement of CDBG funds reviewed by DOC?					

# Project Expenditure Sampling Form:

### REVIEW OF DRAWS AND CLAIMS FOR <u>ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIVITIES</u>

Claim No.	Date	Vendor/Source No.	Amount	Warrant No.	Approved By	Comments

Project Expenditure Sampling Form:

# REVIEW OF DRAWS AND CLAIMS FOR <u>PROJECT (NON-ADMINISTRATIVE) ACTIVITIES</u>

Claim No.	Date	Vendor/Source No.	Amount	Warrant No.	Approved By	Comments

E. (	E. CIVIL RIGHTS							
1. [	Montana Human Rights Commission							
YES	NO	NA						
			a. Did the Montana Human Rights Commission notify MDOC/CDBG of any prior allegations of discrimination against the Grantee?					
			Comments:					
			<ul> <li>Were there any complaints of discrimination associated with CDBG- funded activities, for which affirmative action was required to overcome the effects?</li> </ul>					
			If yes, describe situation:					
2. I	Recipi	ent En	nployment					
YES	NO	NA	a. Does the Grantee have an adopted nondiscrimination (Equal Employment Opportunity) policy? (Exhibit 5-A)					
			Comments:					
			Date adopted:					
			b. Has the Grantee made its Equal Employment Opportunity (EEO) policy clearly known to all staff involved in hiring, promotion, and salary decisions?					
			Comments:					
			<ul> <li>Does the Grantee display Equal Employment Opportunity (EEO) posters in conspicuous places? (Exhibit 5-M, CDBG Admin. Manual)</li> </ul>					
			Comments:					
			Location(s) posted:					
			d. Has an individual been designated to oversee civil rights compliance for the local government, (not just for this project)?					
			Comments:					
			If "yes," whom?					

YES	NO	NA	e. Has the Grantee hired any staff for work on CDBG-funded activities?					
			Comments:  If YES, list name(s) and position(s):					
			f. Were EEO guidelines followed in hiring? (Exhibits 5-H, I, and J, CDBG Admin. Manual)					
			Comments:					
			Describe:					
			g. Did job announcements include a statement that "(Name of Grantee) is an equal opportunity employer"?					
			Comments:					
			h. Did the Grantee notify target agencies for EEO employment recruitment? (Exhibit 5-G)					
			Comments:					
			I. Were positions advertised in any minority newspapers published in the area?					
	j. Did the Grantee publish Exhibit 5-B (sample Section 3 Public Notice: Economic Opportunities for Low-Income and Very Low-Income Persons) or its equivalent?							
			Comments:					
			<ul> <li>k. Has the Grantee been acting in compliance with the (December, 2002) EEOC Compliance Manual Section on the Prohibition of National Origin Discrimination (See Chapter 5 CDBG Manual, page 5-12)?</li> <li>Comments:</li> </ul>					
			Do the Grantee's records include a summary of the number of applicants for each position, the number that are minorities, women and handicapped persons, and the reasons for the hiring decisions?					
			Comments:					

YES	NO	NA	m. Number of CDBG-funded staff persons (previous plus new hires) employed by the Grantee:					
			Number of staff:					
			Number and percentage of minority staff:					
			Name(s) and position(s) of minority staff:					
			n. Based on data, does minority representation appear reasonable?					
			Comments:					
2. F	Projec	t Bene	efits					
YES	NO	NA	a. Does the Grantee follow policies and procedures to ensure nondiscrimination in the provision of grants, loans, or other CDBG assistance to beneficiaries?					
			Comments:					
			b. What is the minority population percentage in the project area?					
			% =					
			c. Is this based on:					
			Census Data OR Local Survey					
			d. Does the Grantee keep <u>direct benefit</u> data? <u>See Exhibit 5-E</u> .					
			ATTACH EXHIBIT 5-E OR EQUIVALENT HERE for projects involving rehabilitation, acquisition, relocation, economic development hiring, public facilities targeting, or other direct benefits.					
			Comments:					
			e. Based on available data, does there appear to be any deficiency in providing benefits to any group?					
			Comments:					
			If yes, describe.					

3. F	Fair Housing							
YES	NO	NA	a. Describe Grantee efforts to affirmatively further fair housing: For example:					
			Does the Grantee have a fair housing resolution? (Exhibit 5-N)					
			Comments:					
			Date adopted:					
			2. Does the Grantee display fair housing posters (Exhibit 5-0 or equivalent)? Does the Grantee use the "Equal Housing Opportunity" statement and symbol on its local government/agency letterhead?					
			Comments:					
			<ol><li>What actions were taken by the Grantee to affirmatively further fair housing? (See CDBG Manual, page 5-10 and following).</li></ol>					
			Comments:					
4. F	Politic	al Acti	vity					
YES	NO NA a. Does the Grantee have an adopted policy regarding co with the federal Hatch Act?							
			Comments:					
			Date adopted:					
			Note: The Hatch Act restricts the political activities of individuals principally employed by a local government in connection with a program financed in whole or in part with federal funds. (For sample policy, see Exhibit 5-Q, CDBG Administration Manual)					
			b. Has an individual been designated to oversee Hatch Act compliance?					
			Comments:					
			If "yes," whom?					
			c. Have the Grantee's employees been furnished with appropriate information regarding restrictions on political activity? (For example, posting its Hatch Act policy.)					
			Comments:					

5. <i>A</i>	5. American Disabilities Act and Section 504 Requirements							
YES	NO	NA	Has the Grantee prepared an <u>Analysis of Impediments for</u> <u>Handicapped Accessibility</u> in accordance with the requirements of the American Disabilities Act (ADA) and Section 504 in the following ways:					
			Comments:					
			Did the Grantee conduct a Self-evaluation Inventory of facilities and programs to determine the extent of local compliance with ADA requirements?					
			Comments:					
			b. How has the Grantee addressed each of the following areas of ADA compliance: (describe)					
			1) Communications?					
			2) Public Meetings?					
			3) Employment Opportunities?					
			4) Program Benefits?					
			5) Physically Accessible Housing?					
			Comments:					
			c. Did the Grantee develop an ADA Transition Plan to outline steps to correct any deficiencies identified by the Self-evaluation Inventory?					
			Comments:					
F. L	ABO	R REQ	UIREMENTS					
1. [	Davis-	Bacon	Prevailing Wages					
YES	NO	NA	a. Do the construction contract (s) contain a copy of the correct Davis- Bacon prevailing wage decision for the project?					
			Comments:					
			Wage Decision Date(s):					
			b. Are the appropriate wage decisions in use?					
			Comments:					

2. F	Payroll Review							
YES	NO	NA	a. Is Form WH347 (Exhibit 6-I), U.S. DOL Payroll Form or its equivalent being used by the Contractor and sub-contractors?					
			Comments:					
			b. Are payrolls submitted weekly?					
			Comments:					
			<ul> <li>c. Is there evidence of weekly payroll review by the grantee's representative? Is there evidence that all weekly payrolls have been compared to the applicable Davis-Bacon wage rates?</li> <li>~ Are payrolls reviewed clearly initialed by reviewer, annotated as needed, and dated to indicate completion of a weekly review? (CDBG Manual, page 6-14)</li> </ul>					
			Comments:  Type of evidence (e.g., initialed and dated by reviewer, notes, calculations, etc.)					
			d. Are the weekly payrolls numbered sequentially?					
		Comments:						
			e. Are payrolls signed by the employer or an authorized representative?					
			Comments:					
			f. If applicable, are apprentice/trainee records on file?					
			Comments:					
			g. Has overtime been paid? At correct rates? Note: Zone pay increases a construction worker's hourly rate. Fringe benefits can be paid in cash or to a fund. When a construction worker receives zone pay, overtime is calculated this way: [hourly rate plus zone pay multiplied by 1.5] plus fringe.					
			Comments:					
			h. Was the appropriate Davis-Bacon prevailing wage rate determination posted at the job site and reasonably accessible to employees for their review?					
			Comments:					
			<ul> <li>i. Were the proper <u>zone hourly rates</u> used to determine the adjusted base hourly pay at work locations where the work site's distance from the county seat exceeds the specified distances listed in the applicable Davis- Bacon wage rate determination)?</li> </ul>					
			Comments:					

<u>EMF</u>	EMPLOYEE INTERVIEWS CONDUCTED BY LOCAL PROJECT REPRESENTATIVES								
YES	NO	NA	<ol> <li>Is there adequate documentation of employee interviews?         Are completed, signed copies of CDBG interview forms on file (HUD Form 11, Exhibit 6-K)?     </li> <li>For employee interviews conducted, is there evidence that that employees wage rates were compared to Davis-Bacon wage rates applicable to the project?</li> </ol> Comments:						
			EMPLOYEE IN	TERVIEW SAMPL	E A				
Name	of ind	ividual	interviewed:						
Contra	ctor:								
Job Cl	assific	ation:	(if applicable)						
Group	:			Zone:	Zone hourly rate:				
Actual	Wage	e: (+ Fr	inge)						
Requir	ed Wa	age: (+	Fringe)						
YES	NO	NA							
			Was the wage correct?	?					
			Comment:						
			EMPLOYEE IN	TERVIEW SAMPL	<u>EB</u>				
Name	of ind	ividual	interviewed:						
Contra	ctor:								
Job Cl	assific	ation:	(if applicable)						
Group	:			Zone:	Zone hourly rate:				
Actual	Wage	e: (+ Fr	inge) \$						
Requir	ed Wa	age: (+	Fringe) \$						
YES	NO	NA							
			Was the wage correct?	?					
			Comment:	Comment:					

	EMPLOYEE INTERVIEW SAMPLE C							
Name	of ind	ividual	interviewed:					
Contra	ctor:							
Job Cl	assific	ation:	(if applicable)					
Group	:			Zone:	Zone hourly rate:			
Actual	Wage	e: (+ Fr	ringe) \$					
Requir	ed Wa	age: (+	Fringe) \$					
YES	NO	NA						
			Was the wage correct	:?				
			Comment:					
			2. Were all required labor interviews sufficiently documented?					
			Comment:					
			3. Was a representative number of trades covered?					
			Comment:	Comment:				
			4. Are interviews cor	mpared against payroll	s?			
			Comment:					
			5. Are there instance violations?	es of incorrect wage pa	yments or labor standards			
			Comment:					
			If "yes," describe:					
			Were investigations of noted violations conducted in a timely manner?					
			Comment:					
			If not, describe:					
			7. Has restitution been made to the affected workers?					
			Comment:					
YES	NO	NA	8. Were records and documentation sufficient to support the findings and the resolution of violations?					

			Comment:				
			9. If labor requirements deficiencies have occurred, have follow-up procedures been agreed on to correct or improve performance?				
			Com	ment:			
			<b>10.</b> V	Vere the required lab	or standards	posters poste	d at the job site:
			а	a. Exhibit 6-F-U.S. D	epartment of	Labor Notice t	o Employees?
			Com	ment:			
			b	o. Appropriate U.S. D. Rates?	Department of	Labor Davis-l	Bacon Wage
			Com	ment:			
			С	Exhibit 6-G, DOC	Equal Employ	ment Opportu	inity Poster?
			Com	ment:			
	_	_					
G. AC	CQUI	SITIOI	N (If A	Applicable)			
Total nu	ımbe	r of acc	uisitic	ons proposed:			
Number	of a	cquisiti	ons m	ade to date:			
Genera	l Info	rmatio	on re	Acquisitions: (Com	plete For Ea	ch Acquisitio	n Sampled)
1. Na	ame o	of prop	erty o	wner:			
2. Te	eleph	one Nu	ımber:				
3. Ac	ddres	s of ac	quirec	l property:			
	•	y Use: for wat		Single Fam. Res.	Agriculture	Business	Nonprofit
						YES	NO
5. Occupants?							
6. Te	6. Tenants?						
NOTE: Persons or businesses displaced by acquisition are entitled to <b>relocation assistance</b> (see Chapter 7 of the CDBG Manual). Complete section H (Relocation) of this Monitoring Guide to document payments to owners or tenants, or relocation assistance waiver from owner only.							
Complete Only For <u>VOLUNTARY</u> Acquisition ( <u>Not Governed By the Uniform Relocation Act)</u>							

1. [	Does t	he Gra	antee's file include the following document	ation:		
YES	NO	NA	DOCUMENTATION IN FILE	DATE:	Amount	
			Public Invitation for Acquisition of Real Property (Exhibit 7-A)	Meeting		
			Voluntary Agreement Between Grantee and Individual Seller (Exhibit 7-B)			
			Appraisal			
			Contract signed/Proof of payment			
			Recording of property deed			
			Record of settlement costs			
			Filing of complaint or appeal (if applicable)			
			Resolution of complaint or appeal (if applicable)			
	Acknowledgment by Grantee that acquisition was voluntary, exemp procedures required under the Uniform Act, Title III, and that dislocated tenants must be done pursuant to Title II					
H. R	ELO	CATIC	ON (If Applicable)			
Numb reloca				Proposed	To Date	
O۱	wner					
Te	enant					
Вι	usines	S				
Total:						
	<ol> <li>Method of relocation: governed by Uniform Act [ ]; OR optional relocation: [ ]</li> </ol>					
	6. Attach Exhibit 5-E, Direct Benefits Summary Form, showing civil rights-related information for all relocatees.					
YES	NO					

	7. Were any complaints or appeals filed?						
	If yes	If yes, describe the issues and how they were resolved.					
	th	8. Approximately 60 days after the relocation payment has been made and the relocation has taken place, does the Grantee follow-up to determine whether the replacement housing is satisfactory?					
COMPLETE	FOR RE	LOCAT	IONS <u>GOVERI</u>	NED B	( UNIFO	ORM ACT	
Select se for each,			ases for reviev	v, comp	olete the	e checklist on the follo	owing pages
YES NO	Comp	lete For	Optional Reloc	ations			
	1. Di	id option	al relocation pr	oject re	ceive p	rior approval from DO	OC?
	2. Da	ate of ad	adoption of local policy governing optional relocation				
	3. Da	ate of DOC approval of local policy					
	Generally describe project and system for providing benefits to affected individuals:					to affected	
	Comr	nents:					
		elect several individual cases for review, complete the attached form for ach, and attach.					
	Comments:						
RESIDENTIA	RESIDENTIAL OR BUSINESS RELOCATION GOVERNED BY THE UNIFORM ACT						ACT
Name							
Former Addre	ess						
New Address	3						
Project Name	9						
Acquisition N	lo.						
Relocation N	0.						
Owner			Tenant			Business	

Dates	Applies to Residential (R) or Business (B)			
	R&B	DOC Authorization to incur cost		
	R&B	When 1st occupied old unit		
	R&B	Initiation of Negotiations to Acquire Property		
	R&B	Property Acquired		
	R&B	*General Information Notice (8-A)		
	R&B	*Notice of Relocation Eligibility (8-B)		
	R&B	Moved to replacement dwelling or business		
	R	* Confidential Family Survey Guide (8-C) X		
	R	* Identified comparable replacement dwelling		
	R&B	* 90-Day Notice delivered (8-D)		
	R&B	* 30-Day Notice delivered (8-E if applicable)		
	R	* Housing Inspection Form (8-F)		
	R	* Letter to Relocatee in Substandard Unit (if applicable) (8-G)		
	R	* Applicable claim form (8-H, 8-I, 8-J, or 8-K)		
	R	* Applicable claim form (8-L or 8-M)		
	R & B	* Letter of Acknowledgment for Services and Payments Rendered (8-N)		
	R&B	Follow-up contact		
NOTE: A	NOTE: All items preceded by an asterisk (*) require documentation in the Relocation file.			

# FOR RESIDENTIAL RELOCATIONS

Monthly Housing Costs for: Acquired Dwelling [] Replacement []

TYPE OF PAYMENT Moving Expenses	(*) AMOUNT CLAIMED	(*) APPROVED	(*) DATE PAID		
Actual					
Fixed					
Replacement Housing Payment					
Homeowner (180-day)					
Rental Assistance (90-day)					
Down Payment (90-day)					
c. Race: (select one 1. White 2. Black or a 3. Asian 4. American 5. Native Ha 6. American 7. Asian and 8. Black or a 9. American 10. Other Mu d. Other: [] Female head [] Elderly (over [] Handicapped e. Income Data:	only one) or Latino or More) African American Indian or Alaskan Natawaiian or Alaskan Na	nto CDBG Manual's in the Monitoring Guide.)  It is the monitoring Guide.)	frican American more than one race)		
Gross annual incom		\$			
FOR BUSINESS RELOCATIONS  NOTE: All items preceded by an asterisk (*) require documentation in the					

relocation file	9.							
TYPE OF PAY Moving	/MENT Expenses	(*) AMOL		(*) APPROVED			(*) DATE PAID	
Actual								
Fixed								
							•	
	OPTI	ONAL RE	LOCATION	I CASE	REVI	EW		
Owner[] Te	enant [ ]			YES	NO	DAT	E	AMOUNT
Name:								
Address:								
Date of verific	ation of property	ownership	)					
Did owner app	oly for rehabilitat	ion funds?						
Date property was identified as unsuitable for rehab								
Reasons								
Was photo do	cumentation of	condition re	etained?					
	ng cost, includir , or rent, plus ut		e, taxes					
Was property	acquired by Gra	intee:						
Date of tempo	rary relocation of	of residents	5					
Date of SHPC	clearance of de	emolition						
Date of demolition of structure:								
Date household moved into replacement dwellings								
Address, if different from above								
Did Grantee certify replacement dwelling as suitable								
Describe repla	Describe replacement dwelling.							
	of replacement hes and insurance							

Amo	ount of payment for replacement housing:				
Amo paid	ount of temporary relocation or other expenses				
Is pr	oof of receipt of payment in file?	YES	NO		
	cribe method of securing the improvements to rent sale or moving of replacement dwelling:				
I.	PUBLIC FACILITY CONSTRUCTION MANA	GEMEN	NT		
	Construction Contract Procurement Proce for construction contracts not already reviewed uired Clause for CDBG Construction Contracts	d by DO			
a.	a. Project location (County and nearest town):				
b.	Description of work:				
C.	Names of newspapers used for bid advertising copies)	g and d	ates o	f publica	ition (or attach

Bid opening date:

NA

NO

d.

YES

utilities:

e. Were competitive bids obtained through formal advertising for all

		5-4302, MCA? (R of \$20,000 for the equipment or mate repair, or maintena f. Did the Grantee as concerning contract	equired by Montana la purchase of vehicles, r erials of any kind, or \$2 ance, in the aggregate. ssure contractor affirma	ative action responsibilities ed Business Enterprises
		Comments:		
g.	List of Bidd	ers (or attach copy of bid t	abulation)	Bid Amount:
h.	Were only <i>r</i> bids review	registered contractors' red?	Yes [ ] No [ }	
i.	Date contra	act was awarded:		
j.	Name of Co	ontractor(s):		
k.	Date of Pre	e-construction		

YES	NO	NA				
			I. Is a copy of the conference minutes on file?			
			m. Did the Grantee complete Exhibit 5-J, Contract Reporting Form, at the time that this contract was awarded? (Was 5-J completed for all contracts and subcontracts, including contractors and subcontractors that are not minority owned, exceeding \$10,000?)			
			Comments:			
n. Da	ate of	Contra	actor's Notice to Proceed:			
2. Bo	onding	g and I	nsurance			
			a. Is the prime contractor registered as required by 37-71-201, MCA?			
			Comments:			
			b. For construction contracts over \$100,000, did the contractor(s) meet the requirements for:			
			1) Bid bond equal to 10% of bid price?			
			Comments:			
			2) Performance bond equal to 100% of contract price?  Comments:			
			3) Payment bond equal to 100% of contract price?			
			Comments:			
3. (	Contra	act Do	cuments			
			Was the contract bid document reviewed by DOC/CDBG to verify that all proposed construction work was appropriate and eligible for CDBG funding?			
			Date of Review:			
			Reviewed By:			
			Comments:			
			Was firm fixed-price or lump sum compensation used?  Comments:			

4.	Chang	je Orde	ers				
			a. Have any change orders been issued for the project?				
			Comments:				
			b. If yes, sample and describe representative change orders:				
			Comments:				
	CHANGE ORDER SAMPLE NUMBER ONE						
a)	Date:						
b)	Amour	nt:					
c)	Purpos	se:					
d)	Contra	ctor:					
e)	Who approved the change order?						
f)	Does it appear that the cost and purpose of the change order were reasonable?						
g)	How w	as the	change order funded?				
	CHANGE ORDER SAMPLE NUMBER TWO						
a)	Date:						
b)	Amour	nt:					
c)	Purpos	se:					
d)	Contra	ctor:					
e)	Who a	pprove	d the change order?				
f)	Does it	t appea	r that the cost and purpose of the change order were reasonable?				
g)	How w	as the	change order funded?				

			CHANGE ORDER SAMPLE NUMBER THREE			
a)	Date:					
b)	Amour	nt:				
c)	Purpos	se:				
d)	Contra	ctor:				
e)	Who a	pprove	d the change order?			
f)	Does it	t appea	ar that the cost and purpose of the change order were reasonable?			
g)	How w	as the	change order funded?			
YES	NO	NA				
			c. Did any of the change orders affect the CDBG project budget, scope of work or construction schedule?			
			Comments:			
			d. If yes, did the change order(s) receive prior review and approval by appropriate agency?			
			Comments:			
J.	INDIV	'IDUAL	REHABILITATION FILE REVIEW (IF APPLICABLE)			
1.	Name	Name of property owner:				
2.	Addr	Address of property rehabilitated:				
3.	Addr	Address of owner, if different:				
4.	Date	Date of application for assistance:				
5.		Type of housing unit assisted:  Owner-occupied [ ] Tenant-occupied [ ] Single family unit [ ] Mobile home [ ] Multiple family units [ ] (#):				

6.	<b>Household Characteristics</b> : (See CDBG Manual Exhibit 5-E and Chapter 5 of the DCBG Manual. The new HUD/OMB data collection requirements that were announced December, 2002 were incorporated into CDBG Manual's Exhibit 5-E, Direct Benefits Data, and also into this CDBG Project Monitoring Guide.)		
	a. <u><b>Fa</b></u>	mily siz	<u>ze</u> :
	b. <u>Et</u>	[]	(select only one) Hispanic or Latino Not Hispanic or Latino
	c. <u>Ra</u>	1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10.0 ner:	Plect one or more) White Black or African American Asian American Indian or Alaskan Native Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander American Indian or Alaskan Native and White Asian and White Black or African American and White American Indian or Alaskan Native and Black or African American Other Multi-racial (balance of individuals reporting more than one race) male head of household erly (over 62) micropped (nature of handicap):
7.	Incom a.	e Data Gross a	annual income of household: \$
YES	NO	NA	b. Does this meet LMI eligibility requirements?  Comments:
			c. Describe how the income was verified:  Comments:
			d. Eligibility of the housing unit  Comments:
			Located within project area?  Comments:
			2. Property ownership verified?  Comments:
YES	NO	NΔ	3 Value of property: \$

Comments:
4. Credit check conducted?  Comments:
5. Title search conducted?  Comments:
6. Confirmation of taxes paid and current?  Comments:
8. Property Work Write-Up and Bidding
a. Determined to be substandard, suitable for rehab?  Comments:
b. Work write-up prepared? Date: Comments:
c. Cost estimate included? Amount: \$  Comments:
d. Is this a self-help rehab? Comments:
e. If not, number of bids received: Comments:
f. Was a "walk through" provided for contractors? Date:  Comments:
g. <u>List of Bidders</u> : <u>\$ Amount Bid</u> :
Comments:
h. Contract award date(s):
Comments:
i. Name of Contractor(s) selected: Comments:

YES	NO	NA	j. Were the lowest responsible bids awarded?  Comments:
			10. Securing the Grant or Loan
			a. Type of assistance:Grant []Low-interest Loan []Deferred Loan []Other [] Describe:
			Comments:
			b. Parties to the housing rehab contract:  Owner [ ] Contractor [ ] Grantee as Third Party [ ]  Comments:
			c. When was the property lien filed?
			Comments:
			11. Rehabilitation Contractor(s) selected for this rehabilitation work on this housing unit
			a. Name(s) of contractor(s)
			Comments:
			b. Did Grantee verify the eligibility of contractor (i.e., that the contractor was not debarred) with DOC?  Comments:
			c. Did Grantee verify that the contractor was registered?  Comments:
			d. Does contractor have: 1. liability insurance? Comments:
			2. property damage insurance?  Comments:
			3. Worker's Comp. insurance?  Comments:
YES	NO	NA	e. Does the contract list specific work or include write-up?  Comments:

f. Were all costs reasonable?
Comments:
g. Are all required CDBG contract clauses included?  Comments:
h. Compliance with Title VII, Civil Rights Act of 1964?  Comments:
i. Section 109 compliance? Comments:
<ul> <li>j. Lead-based paint prevention/remediation – lead- safe work practices followed (all CDBG housing contracts)? New HUD LBP rules followed (all CDBG housing contracts starting with 2002 contracts)?</li> <li>Comments:</li> </ul>
k. Termination? Comments:
I. 1 year guarantee on work? Comments:
m. Equal Employment Opportunity (Exec.Order 11246 for over \$10,000)? Comments:
<ul><li>n. Are required property standards followed in the contract based on work-write up):</li><li>Comments:</li></ul>
o. Section 8? Comments:
p. National Electrical Code? Comments:
s. Uniform Building Code for 5 or more units?  Comments:
t. DOC mobile home standards? Comments:

\/F2	l NG		
YES	NO	NA	12. <u>Permits</u> a. Are required permits on file?
			Are required permits of file?     State electrical permit? (NA if Grantee certified)
			Comments:
			2. State plumbing permit? (NA if Grantee certified)  Comments:
			3. State mechanical permit? (NA if Grantee certified)  Comments:
			b. Name of electrician:
			Is electrician licensed?
			Comments:
			c. Name of plumber:
			Is plumber licensed?
			Comments:
			13. Change Orders
			a. Were any change orders issued?
			Comments:
			b. If change orders were issued, select a sample and describe each:
			<u>Change Order Sample Number 1</u> : Amount:
			Purpose:
			Does it appear cost and purpose of the change order are reasonable? (Attach further explanation in notes if necessary.)  Comments:
			<u>Change Order – Sample Number 2</u> : Amount:
			Purpose:
			Does it appear cost and purpose of the change order are reasonable? (Attach further explanation in notes if necessary.)  Comments:

			-					
14.	4. Inspections/Disbursements							
a. A	. Are inspections made prior to disbursements?							
h   I		ocal insp dates	ection Electrical/plumbing Disbursements inspection dates Amounts Dates					
b. Ir	ııııaı nterim							
d. F								
Con	nments:							
			e. Were all lien waivers obtained?  Comments:					
			<ul> <li>f. Does the Grantee do a follow-up contact with homeowner and/or tenant(s) after completion of work to determine the homeowner's satisfaction with the work?</li> <li>Comments:</li> </ul>					
			15. Affordability					
			a. For owner-occupied, single family units, how was the affordability of the rehab assistance determined?  Comments:					
			<ul><li>b. For tenant-occupied single family housing:</li><li> 1. describe the contract clause for assuring initial LMI occupancy:</li></ul>					
			2. will rent be increased after rehab?					
			c. For multiple family rehab: proposed monthly rents: total # units: # occupied by LMI households: local definition of "affordable rent"?					

			d. Describe the contract clause for requiring affordable rents.
			e. Who will be responsible for monitoring for compliance with the "affordable rents" contract clause over the long-term?
			f. How will this be assured?
			g. Describe the contract clause for <b>assuring initial occupancy by LMI residents</b> :
			h. Describe any special terms of loan
			a. Date rehab contract was signed: Amount: \$
			b. Date that any escrow funds were drawn from DOC: Amount \$
			c. Was an escrow account established (CDBG funds drawn) after the rehab contract was signed?  Comments:
YES	NO	NA	d. Was the rehab work completed and accepted within 180 days of the signing of the rehab contract?  Comments:
			e. If the escrow account is interest bearing, is the interest, less any service charges, remitted to HUD?  Comments:
			f. If a loan, who is responsible for periodically reviewing the file to ensure compliance with the loan agreement?  Comments:
			g. Has a monthly amortization table been established?  Comments:

YES	NO	NA	h. Has the contract been reviewed or scheduled for review at a later date to determine whether the recipient of a loan is current with the repayment schedule?  Comments:
			i. Is the recipient current with the repayment schedule?  Comments:
			j. If not, how will the delinquency be resolved?
			17. DOC/CDBG Inspection of Property
			a. Does rehab work conform to contract, including change orders?  Comments:
			b. Do costs and work items appear reasonable and eligible?  Comments:
			c. Is construction quality acceptable?  Comments:
			d. Is beneficiary satisfied?  Comments:

K.	ECON	OMIC I	DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION
YES	NO	NA	
			Does the Grantee have a system in place to periodically review the assistance agreement(s) between the local government and the assisted entity to ensure compliance with conditions contained in the agreement?  Comments:
			2. Is the system being used effectively?  Comments:
			3. Were changes to the assistance agreement between the local government and the assisted entity authorized by DOC? (Compare the agreement approved by DOC with the one in the Grantee files.)  Comments:

YES	NO	NA	4. Has an account been established to record loan repayments?  Comments:
			5. When is the first payment due?
			6. Have there been any delinquent payments?  Comments:
			7. Is the Grantee maintaining records to document that the entity(ies) being assisted is substantially achieving the hiring goal for retention or creation of full-time equivalent jobs for low and moderate income persons that was proposed in the Grantee's final hiring and training plan approved by DOC? (Documentation must include the number and description of the jobs created or retained and income data for the persons filling those positions.)  Comments:
			8. Did the Grantee establish hiring guidelines that contain the following elements:  a. Current section 8 income guidelines?  Comments:
			b. Recipient eligibility verification?  Comments:
			c. Job application procedures?  Comments:
			<ol> <li>What other sources and amounts of financing are involved in the project?</li> <li>Comments:</li> </ol>
			Are CDBG funds being matched by the sources and the amounts proposed in the applications?  Comments:

VEO	NO	N. A	
YES	NO	NA	11. Are Davis-Bacon wage rates involved in the project?
			Describe the project components that involve Davis-Bacon wage rates:
			If the Grantee is constructing public facilities in support of the economic development project with publicly contracted labor, complete the Public Facility Administration and Construction Contract Review sections (J and G).
			Complete the Labor Standards Compliance Section under Public Facilities if Davis-Bacon is involved in private contracts for the project.  Comments:
			12. On-site visit of assisted entity:
			Does the project and project area appear to be the same as proposed in the application?
			Comments:
			b. Does the visible progress match that represented in the progress report submitted with the most recent drawdown? (For example: construction, equipment installation, relocation, rehabilitation, etc.)  Comments:
			c. Are major items purchased with CDBG funds available for visible inspection?  Comments:
			List major items checked:
			Do they appear to be the same as proposed?  Comments:

YES	NO	NA	d.  Comments:	Does the business appear to be active and conducting business as would be expected? (For example: are shipments coming or going, are workers on-site, inventory stockpiled, etc.)
			e. Comments:	Does the inventory on-site compare in quantity, quality, age, etc. to that described in the business plan?
			e t	ne Grantee been monitoring the project effectively? (For example, is there evidence on file hat on-site visits have been conducted or review of hiring and financial reports has occurred.)

## L. Project Closeout and Audit Review

## 1. PROJECT CLOSEOUT (See Chapter 13, CDBG Manual)

YES	NO	NA	
			<ul> <li>1) Has the project been monitored?</li> <li>Check the monitoring letter for any unresolved issues such as findings or compliance issues. (They should be resolved before proceeding.)</li> </ul>
			Date Monitored:
			<ul> <li>2) Is there any pending litigation or disputes involving this project?</li> <li>If there is a lawsuit or ongoing dispute involving the Grantee, contractor(s) or professional(s) in conjunction with this project, a project closeout cannot be completed check with the CDBG Program Manager before proceeding any further with closeout work.</li> </ul>
			3) Grant recipients must follow the directions in Chapter 13, <u>Project Closeout</u> , for the project completion report instructions.
			Date DOC Received Project Closeout Report:
YES	NO	NA	4) Are the following forms and required narrative completed:
			<ul> <li>a) ■ Exhibit 13-A, Step 5</li> <li>Narrative responses and/or documentation given to address each sub-</li> </ul>

	1	1	
			point under Step 5 as applicable, including:
			► Program Income Plan;
			• Any labor standards compliance actions;
			<ul> <li>Inventory of property costing \$5,000 or more acquired with CDBG funds;</li> </ul>
			► Copy of engineer or architect's substantial or final completion report;
			<ul> <li>Narrative describing relationship of project to CDBG objectives;</li> </ul>
			<ul> <li>Narrative of citizen comments regarding the project and actions to address them;</li> </ul>
			▶ Any comments or suggestions on CDBG policies and procedures.
			b) ■EXHIBIT 13-B Final Performance Report
			► Check Proposed Accomplishments against Actual Accomplishments & Compare this to Scope of Work in Grantee's Application.
			c) ■ EXHIBIT 13-C.1 Certification of Completion, Final Status of Funds Report
			This should reflect the Grantee's last expenditures.
			▶ Call Management Services to check account balance.
			▶ Check grant amounts expended to balance remaining against the last
			drawdown.
			➤ Compare balance to the most current contract budget.
			d) <b>EXHIBIT 13-C.2</b> Conditional Closeout Certification and
			e) ■ EXHIBIT 13-C.3 Final Closeout Certification
			▶ Make sure these have been signed by the Chief Elected Official.
			f) ■ EXHIBIT 13-E Contract Reporting Form
			▶ Make sure contractors and subcontractors are listed.
			g) ■EXHIBIT 13-F Indirect Benefits Reporting Form
			▶ Check this against Benefit to LMI information in original application.
YES	NO	NA	<ul> <li>h) EXHIBIT 13-G Calculation of Funds Benefiting LMI</li> <li>Check this against Benefit to LMI Table in the original application. The amount in the closeout report should be the same as or greater than what was proposed in the application.</li> <li>Check occupancy requirements if project was for new housing.</li> </ul>
			5) Are there any issues of concern with the above requirements?
			6) Are there are any remaining unexpended funds at the time of final closeout?

			<b>▶</b> If	"yes"	, what is the amou	nt to be realloca	ted?
			AMO	UNT	REALLOCATED:		
			•		nt to be reserved to mount will usually b		h the Grantee's final draw.
			AMO	DUNT	FOR AUDIT:		
					ere any funds not tured?	authorized for	expenditure that need to
			AMO	DUNT	NEEDED TO BE	RECAPTURED:	
2.	If there	e is no d	сору с	the	e Chapter 14, CDI audit in the project a: 841-2907).	,	rom MDOC Local Government
	Service	es Duit	au (i	110116	. 041-2907).		
			1)	ехр		al amount drav	DBG funds have been on down by the Grantee for crecords:
					Fiscal Year	<u>Amount</u>	
					TOTAL:		

YES	NO	NA	2) Has an audit been performed for the project (or is one scheduled)?
			List the fiscal years covered or to be covered by an audit:
			Audit Date: Fiscal Year(s) Covered:
			1.
			2.
			3.
			3) At the time of closeout, have all funds been audited, and has the CDBG Program Specialist reviewed all audits for this project?
			4) Based on audit information, if the project still requires an audit, then prepare a <i>conditional closeout</i> until a final audit on all expended CDBG funds has been received.
			Projected Date of Final Audit:
			5) Are there any unresolved findings or noncompliance issues with applicable laws and regulations remaining from the last audit completed for this project?
			6) Are there any issues of concern concerning the project's audits?

<sup>\*</sup> For Findings, check (1) Auditor's Schedule of Federal Financial Assistance and (2) Report on Compliance with Laws & Regulations related to federal financial assistance problems.

<sup>\*</sup> NOTE: Ignore any references to drug free workplace -- this is not a HUD requirement.

<sup>\*</sup> If the Grantee receives less than \$25,000 of combined federal financial assistance in a fiscal year during the term of the project, the CDBG funds do not have to be audited according to A-128.

3.	B. Program Income				
YES	NO	NA			
			1) Will program income be received after closeout? If "yes," the Grantee should has to adopt a program income plan and set up acceptable procedures for the accounting and administration of the program income before the project can be closed out by MDOC. Be sure program income plan has been approved by MDOC.		
			2) Are there any unexpended program income funds remainin at closeout? If "yes," inform the Grantee in the closeout letter about Title 1 requirements applicable to unexpended program income received before and after closeout.	g	
			3) Does the Grantee have any other open CDBG projects? If "yes," program income should be used from this project for the other open project, unless there is a dedicated RLF.		

## PROGRAM INCOME PLAN/REVOLVING LOAN FUND CHECKLIST FOR PROJECT CLOSEOUT

The Department of Commerce's decision to permit the retaining of Program Income or the development of an RLF will be determined on the adequacy of the proposed program income plan (P.I.P.) For the use and administration of The program. See pages 2-8 of DOC's Program Income/RLF Manual and Chapter 4 of the CDBG Grant Administration Manual for P.I.P. requirements. The following elements should be included or addressed in the Program Income Plan

1. FOR CDBG PROJECTS FUNDED 1992 AND PRIOR, AFTER PROJECT CLOSEOUT						
YES	NO	NA	a.	Provisions of Title I will not apply, but a minimum of 51% of the funds must be used for activities that benefit low and moderate income (LMI) persons. Program income must be used for CDBG eligible activities.		
2. For CDBG projects funded 1993 and later, after project closeout						
			IVOOL	,		
			a.	Maximum 18% for administration and 82% for activity per year		

YES	NO	NA	c. For any project funded with program income or RLF money, Title I regulations apply. If less than \$25,000 of program income is received in any year, these funds are exempt from Title I requirements. However, the funds must benefit 51% LMI and be eligible for funding under the CDBG program.
3. F	or <b>AL</b> I	L PROJE	ECTS (FUNDED BEFORE AND AFTER 1992)
YES	NO	NA	a. Eligible activities as listed under Title I of the Housing and Community Development Act as amended. See the appendix of the Program Income/RLF Manual, or Section 105(a) of Title I of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974, as amended through October 28, 1992.
			b. Description of activities to be funded by program income.
			c. Eligible types of loans.
			d. Loan financing policies: minimum and maximum dollar amounts, terms, rates of interest, policies for restructuring loans.
			e. Description of the goals and objectives of the plan.
			f. Description of eligible applicants for the funds.
			g. Loan review committee.
			h. How projects are to be evaluated/Project Selection and Approval Process. Establish criteria and procedures for reviewing and approving loans, including how needs are justified and prioritized, who the beneficiaries are, etc., the type of credit and financial analysis for evaluation.
			i. How loans will be secured and serviced.
			j. Description of the accounting and reporting procedures for the funds.
			k. Evidence that the governing body has approved the Program Income Plan (P.I.P).

## CDBG's Program Income Plan approval language:

We have approved the Program Income Plan which allows the local government to retain program income. All program income received after closeout of a CDBG project must be distributed to an appropriate revolving loan fund or program income account. Because the DOC has ultimate responsibility for the program income retained and used at the local level, it is required to ensure that such program income is used in a manner consistent with CDBG requirements. The DOC has instituted a Grantee reporting process. This will require that the Grantee prepare a special report for RLF and individual loan funds. These reports must be filed with the DOC twice a year, at the end of each December and June. The first report is due no later than January 31 and the second no later than July 30. If you have a system in place please send that. Otherwise, see DOC's Program Income/RLF Manual, use the form enclosed, or call the CDBG program for guidance.

Project activities funded from program income generated from projects funded after 1992, will be subject to Title I regulations under the Housing and Community Development Act as amended. Title I regulations will not apply where less than \$25,000 of program income is retained in any year.

NOTE: Unless program income is dedicated to an ED RLF, all program income received prior to a CDBG project closeout must be distributed back to the corresponding open project fund. Such program income must be added to the funds committed to the project and used for these activities before a Grantee can request an additional drawdown of funds from its project account. The program income will be accounted for and reported on as part of the financial transactions of the CDBG project.

4.	4. CDBG's Closeout Letter						
YES	NO						
		1) Prepare a closeout letter. The closeout letter will either be a conditional or final closeout.					
		a) Conditional Closeout Letter					
		▶ All required CDBG closeout forms and narrative completed					
		► Certificate of completion from engineer or architect					
		▶ Reference the date of monitoring in the closeout letter					
		Final audit scheduled					
		b) Final Closeout Letter					
		▶ The project can be finally closed-out if all expended CDBG funds have been audited and the project has been monitored.					
		Final status of funds report and					
		Include a statement that all CDBG-related records must be retained for three years after final project closeout.					
YES	NO	Are there any concerns regarding completing a conditional or final closeout for this project?					
5.	Close	out Follow-up					
YES	NO						
		1) Update DOC's in-house records:					
		a. Project closeout tracking sheet (WORD)					
		b. Project draws tracking sheet (Excel)					
		c. Program Specialist's project tracking sheet (WORD)					
		d. Consolidated project tracking sheet (WORD)					
		2) Notify DOC's Management Services Division that the project has been closed out. (Give a copy of the closeout letter sent to Grantee to Management Services.)					